

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,630	08/06/2003	Guy M. Danner	H-356	1629
26245 DAVID I COL	26245 7590 10/25/2007 DAVID J COLE		EXAMINER	
E INK CORPORATION			BODDIE, WILLIAM	
733 CONCORD AVE CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138-1002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for many be available under the provision of 37 FR1 1.30(1). Into period, hower, may a reply be period place of the communication of 15 PMT 1.30(1). Into period, hower, may a reply be period place of the provision of 15 PMT 1.30(1). Into period to reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory printed will apply and will expire \$1X, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallet of representation appelled above, the maximum statutory printed will apply and will expire \$1X, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Feel the first printed will be represented by the communication of the printed will be represented by the communication. Feel the first printed will be represented by the communication of the mailing date of the communication, even if timely the communication. Feel the provision of the printed will be represented by the communication of the mailing date of the communication, even if timely the communication. Status 1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
William L Boddie	Office Action Comments	10/604,630	DANNER ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of immerapy be available under the provided of 37 CPR 1 1300, Inne event, however, may a repty be limitely filled. If NO period for repty a specified above, the maximum estatutory pained will apply and will repty set. (b) MONTHS from the malling rate of the communication. Follute for repty will min set of createded period for repy will, by statuse, capation the application of communication of the bits must be maximum setations and the manual part of the communication, even if limity filed, may reduce any statutes patient an applicants. Set of 74 ft. 17690. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Examples of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.3469, Inno event, however, may a neigh be timely flied to the provision of 11 to provision of the many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.3469, Inno event, however, may a neigh be timely flied to the provision of the many and the provision of the	·	William L. Boddie	2629				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNCATION. Etherasors of time may be available used the provisions of 3°CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely flied after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the strength of the provision of th	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 2.3.5.6.11 and 22-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Patent Application	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3.5.6.11 and 22-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	,		-				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·=						
Al)							
4) Claim(s) 2.3.5.6.11 and 22-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Drathsperson's Paleent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Drathsperson's Paleent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Drathsperson's Paleent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of International Disclosure (PTO-13) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims						
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,11 and 22-25</u> is/are pending ir	n the application.					
6) Claim(s) 2.3.5.6.11 and 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5B/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,11 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Figure 1.121(d). 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Post Information Post Informal Patent Application Post Information Post Information Post Information Post Information Post Information							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * June 1							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			*				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. In an amendment dated, July 30th, 2007, the Applicant cancelled claims 1, 7-10, 26, and amended claims 2-3 and 5-6. Currently claims 2-3, 5-6 and 22-25 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-3, 5-6, 11 and 22-25 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. On pages 4-6 of the Remarks, the Applicants argue that it would have not been obvious to combine Sato with Duthaler and Leibowitz. The Applicants first argue that there is negligible heat generation within the electro-optic medium during operation. While the Applicants' statement is true, this is not the full story. As disclosed by Duthaler, it is important that the electro-optic medium stay within a defined temperature range to ensure good display quality (col. 9, lines 51-61). As such, even though the electro-optic medium operation does not itself, generate heat, it is important to maintain the medium within a certain thermal range. Duthaler expressly contemplates thermoelectric cooling to move "thermal energy from the display to a suitable heat sink" (col. 9, lines 60-61). Thus it would seem clear that the sum of temperature variables which effect the display (control circuitry heating, limited heating caused by electro-optic medium operation, environmental factors, etc.) are sufficient for Duthaler to actively disclose taking measures to control the display temperature.
- 4. The Applicants argue that Sato, a plasma display, owes its large heat generation to the manner in which it is operated. While the Examiner agrees that plasma displays will generate more heat due to the actual operation of display than electro-optical

Art Unit: 2629

displays, this does not change the core goal. In Sato, the goal is to lower display temperature regardless of what caused the high temperature in the display. It should be noted that the temperature of the plasma display of Sato is not solely affected by the operation of the display. Other factors, control circuitry heating, and environmental factors, for instance, will undoubtedly play a part in the temperature of the display, just as they did in Duthaler. In short, it does not seem extremely relevant how the temperature of the display increased. What is important is that the display performance of both displays, plasma and electro-optic, can be increased by some means of cooling. As such, the Examiner sees no reason why the cooling method of air gap and fan, disclosed by Sato, would not work to also cool the electro-optic display of Duthaler.

- 5. Finally, it simply does not seem reasonable that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would not even consider Sato's invention because it involves a plasma flat panel rather than an electro-optic flat panel. It seems obvious that one of ordinary skill when confronted with a heightened flat display panel temperature would look to how this problem has been addressed in other flat display panels.
- 6. On pages 5-6 of the Remarks, the Applicants further point to the different functions of the air gap in the Sato invention compared to the Applicants present invention. The Applicants are advised that none of the functions of their air gap are currently claimed as limitations in the claims. Furthermore, these arguments seem to address the intended use of the air gap. It is well settled that the intended uses of an apparatus have no significance in determining patentability of apparatus claims. *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 U.S.P.Q. 666 (Bd. Pat. App. 1969).

Art Unit: 2629

7. As shown above, the rejection of claims 2-3, 5-6, 11 and 22-25 is seen as proper and is thus maintained. The below rejections have been updated, only to address the altered dependencies of the current claims.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 2-3 and 5-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim states "the heat shield." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this element of the claim. The Applicants are requested to either include discussion of a heat shield in independent claim 11, or amend the claims to recite "a heat shield." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 11 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duthaler et al. (US 6,312,304) in view of Leibowitz et al. (US 4,689,110) and further in view of Sato et al. (US 5,869,919).

With respect to claim 11, Duthaler discloses, an electro-optic display comprising: a layer of reflective electro-optic material (18 in fig. 1) capable of changing its optical state on application of an electric field thereto (col. 3, lines 61-65);

an electrode arranged to apply an electric field to the layer of electro-optic material (24 in fig. 3);

Art Unit: 2629

a heat generating component in heat conducting relationship with the layer of electro-optic material (74 in fig. 6a/b), the heat generating component being disposed on the opposed side of the electrode from the layer of electro-optic material (clear from figs. 6a/b).

wherein a printed circuit board (70 in fig. 6a/b) is placed between the heat generating component and the electrode, the printed circuit board extending across the whole area of the layer of electro-optic material (clear from figs. 6a/b).

Duthaler does not explicitly disclose, a heat shield disposed between the heat generating component and the electrode, the heat shield comprising a layer of thermally conducting material.

Leibowitz discloses, creating a printed circuit board / heat shield with layers of thermally conducting material (copper, 20 in fig. 2); wherein conducting materials extend across the entire board (clear from fig. 2).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the circuit board of Duthaler (70 in fig. 6b) with the heat-shielded multilayered circuit board of Leibowitz.

The motivation for doing so would have been to improve the coefficient of thermal expansion, thermal conductivity, and mechanical strength of the circuit board (Leibowitz; col. 3, lines 13-19).

Neither Leibowitz nor Duthaler expressly disclose that an air gap is present between the circuit board and the electrode of the display.

Art Unit: 2629

Sato discloses, a display device having an air gap (note the flowing arrows) between a circuit board (820 in fig. 8) and the electrodes of a display (201 in fig. 8).

Leibowitz, Duthaler and Sato are all analogous art because they are all from the same problem area namely circuit board manufacturing and packaging.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an air gap between the circuit board, of Leibowitz, and the display electrodes of Duthaler.

The motivation for doing so would have been to effectively cool the display as well as the drive circuits (Sato; col. 12, lines 38-42).

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Leibowitz with Duthaler and subsequently Sato for the benefit of improved thermal conductivity, and effectively cooling the drive circuits to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

With respect to claim 2, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electro-optic display according to claim 11 (see above).

Duthaler, when combined with Leibowitz and Sato, further discloses, wherein the heat shield comprises a printed circuit board (Leibowitz; figs. 1 and 2) having a conductive layer therein (Leibowitz; copper layers, 20 in fig. 2).

With respect to claim 3, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electro-optic display according to claim 11 (see above).

Duthaler, when combined with Leibowitz and Sato, further discloses, wherein the heat shield comprises a plurality of layers of thermally insulating material (Leibowitz; 18 in fig. 2; PTFE) and a plurality of layers of thermally conducting material (Leibowitz; 20

Art Unit: 2629

in fig. 2; Copper), the layers of thermally insulating material alternating with the layers of thermally conducting material (Leibowitz; clear from fig. 2), and one layer of thermally conducting material (Leibowitz; note the outer layers of copper, 20 in fig. 2) being disposed between the layers of thermally insulating material and the layer of electro-optic material (upon combining the two Duthaler with Leibowitz and Sato this limitation would clearly be satisfied).

With respect to claim 5, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electro-optic display according to claim 11 (see above).

Duthaler, when combined with Leibowitz and Sato, further discloses, wherein the heat shield comprises a polymeric film (Leibowitz; PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene)) having a metal layer (Leibowitz; copper, 20 in fig. 2) formed thereon (Leibowitz; col. 3, lines 58-62).

With respect to claim 6, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electro-optic display according to claim 5 (see above).

Duthaler, when combined with Leibowitz and Sato, further discloses, coating the polymeric layer with a conductive metal. While Leibowitz disclosing using copper (col. 4, lines 52-53), it would have been obvious to use aluminum instead as it is well known as a conductive metal.

The motivation for doing so would have been the decreased cost of aluminum over copper.

With respect to claim 22, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electrooptic display according to claim 11 (see above).

Art Unit: 2629

Duthaler further discloses, wherein the electro-optic material comprises a rotating bichromal member material or an electrochromic material (col. 7, lines 8-45).

With respect to claim 23, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electrooptic display according to claim 11 (see above).

Duthaler further discloses, wherein the electro-optic material comprises an electrophoretic material (col. 7, lines 8-9).

With respect to claim 24, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electrooptic display according to claim 23 (see above).

Duthaler further discloses, wherein the electrophoretic material comprises at least one capsule having a capsule wall encapsulating a suspending fluid and a plurality of electrically charged particles suspended in the suspending fluid and capable of moving therethrough on application of an electric field to the electrophoretic material (col. 7, lines 8-45).

With respect to claim 25, Duthaler, Leibowitz and Sato disclose, an electrooptic display according to claim 23 (see above).

Duthaler further discloses, wherein the electrophoretic material comprises a substrate having a plurality of closed cells formed therein, each of the cells having therein a suspending fluid and a plurality of electrically charged particles suspended in the suspending fluid and capable of moving therethrough on application of an electric field to the electrophoretic material (col. 7, lines 8-45; also note figures 6a-b).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2629

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Boddie whose telephone number is (571) 272-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 - 4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wlb 9/28/07

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER